

Millikin University

Student Learning in Library Research Instruction for  
University Seminar and Critical Writing, Reading, and Research I & II

' oals

During the 2010-2011 academic year, the librarians taught 4 sessions in 4 sections (or C, RR classes, 2 sessions in 2 sections (or Seminar classes, 4 sessions in 2 sections (or the 800-level/9 C, RR classes (I), C, RR II offered in the fall rather than the spring semester and C, RR I offered in the spring, and 2 sessions in 2 sections (or the 7-C, RR classes)

Matthew Olsen coordinates the research instruction program and shares in the instruction with library faculty Rachel Micicchi, Cindy Auller, Library Director, and Amanda Tippitt - Library faculty, including the Instructional Services Coordinator, report to the Director

## The Learning Story

For most Millikin University students, C, RR and University Seminar are their introduction to college-level writing and research. While many first-year students are comfortable using consumer technology and navigating information on the internet, those abilities do not necessarily translate into well-developed information seeking and evaluation skills; the library faculty are the campus leaders in increasing students' information literacy skills, not only to promote academic success, but also to develop the skills necessary for lifelong learning; to this end, the librarians work closely with University Seminar and C, RR faculty to tailor their instruction so that it matches the course content and provides an authentic learning experience (or students) - librarians teach students to use both

; o (acilitate reportin# o( the ran#e o( answers to the short answer /uestions, responses to /uestion and E were coded into thirteen cate#ories, all o( which are listed in -ppendi2 -) @each response was assi#ned up to three codes) ; he Instruction Coordinator and library (aculty &e&ber Rachel \*icicchi per(or&ed the codin#) - nor&in# session was held be(ore they independently coded all o( the responses) - (ter review, (or any responses the two librarians coded di((erently, the responses were discussed and the librarians a#reed on co&&on codes) Cuestions < and 3 were also #raded by the Instruction Coordinator and Rachel \*icicchi and the #rades were avera#ed to assi#n a (inal #rade to each response) ; he #radin# scale (or /uestions < and 3 can also be (ound in -ppendi2 -)

### -ther .orms of /valuation

In addition to the library instruction sessions (or the (irst%year core curriculu& courses, new students have traditionally participated in a sel(%#uided tour o( the library durin# the (irst &onth o( the (all se&ester) ; he #goal o( the library tour is to introduce students to the library 8as place9 and to (a&iliari=e the& with so&e o( the resources and services that are available in the library buildin#) ; he tour has three learnin# #oals

- 1) Students will (eel co&(ortable while researchin#, locatin# resources, studyin#, and rela2in# in the library)
- 2) Students will know how to locate &any o( the resources available in the library)
- <) Students will know who to ask i( they have /uestions)

Aall 20 \$ presented a challen#e to our usual (or&at (or the tour because the library had &oved to its te&porary location in Hew Ball < durin# the University Co&&ons construction) Hew Ball < is not conducive to #roups o( students &ovin# throu#h the buildin#, and the librarians wanted to share in(or&ation about the o((%ite stora#e (acility, which is not accessible to students) ; hus, we created a virtual tour o( the library usin# the ' ibl uides plat(or&) ; he tour consisted o( (ive Jpa#es+o( photos and te2t, each correspondin# to di((erent areas o( the library) ; he tour also had two videos e2plainin# how to access the library's website and how to re/uest &aterials (ro& the o((%ite stora#e (acility) - (ter (inishin# the tour, students co&pleted a ten /uestion worksheet that tested their co&prehension o( the &aterial) Students also had the option to respond to a (ive /uestion survey) Upon co&pletion the worksheets were turned in at the library, #raded by the librarians, and then returned to the Se&inar instructors) ; he worksheet scores are reported in ; able below and the results o( the survey are reported in ; able 2)

-cade&ic year 20 \$20 ! also continued the Aaculty -ssess&ent o( ' ibrary Instruction survey) ; his nine /uestion electronic survey is sent to every (aculty &e&ber within whose class library instruction was conducted includin# those outside o( the Se&inar.C, RR se/uce) ; he (aculty can then #ive anony&ous or si#ned (eedback, which the librarians use to i&prove library instruction) ; o view the survey /uestions please contact the Instruction Coordinator)

# Assessment Data

## Pre-Test Results

Part 6 - average score < 03 point scale

Part 26 Multiple choice - average percentage of (students answering the /question correctly) < 40%  
 Short answer - average score < 2) 04 0< point scale

## Spring Post-Test Results

Part 6 - average score < 03 point scale

Part 26 Multiple choice - average percentage of (students answering the /questions correctly) < 40%  
 Short answer - average score < 2) 04 0< point scale

(able!) , re%and post%est results by library CWRR learning goal

Staley Library CWRR Learning Goals 2 & 3			
<b>1) Information Sources</b>  , art # 4 questions # 4 & 5 7re% est -v#) K < 2)E 7ost% est -v#) K < ) I&prove&ent K ! L	<b>2) Search Strategies</b>  , art # 4 questions #, !, 1, 6 & 7 7re% est -v#) K < ) 7ost% est -v#) K < )< I&prove&ent K ! L	<b>3) Evaluation of Information</b>  , art # 4 questions #, 8 & #" 7re% est -v#) K < )0 7ost% est -v#) K < )4 I&prove&ent K L	<b>4) Ethical Aspects of Information</b>  , art # 4 questions #1, #6 & #7 7re% est -v#) K < )2 7ost% est -v#) K < )3 I&prove&ent K L
, art ! 4 questions 6 & 7 7re% est -v#) K \$3L 7ost% est -v#) K MOL I&prove&ent K 22L	, art ! 4 question ! & \$ 7re% est -v#) K \$\$L 7ost% est -v#) K \$EL I&prove&ent K 4L	, art ! 4 questions 1 & & 7re% est -v#) K 3! L 7ost% est -v#) K \$2L I&prove&ent K 0L	, art ! 4 question 5 7re% est -v#)K !! L 7ost% est -v#)K ! 4L I&prove&ent K %4L
(otal for L' # I&prove&ent K 3L	(otal for L' ! I&prove&ent K \$L	(otal for L' 1 I&prove&ent K L	(otal for L' 6 I&prove&ent K 4L

Part 26 (the assessment is designed to measure students' confidence level % TLRT\*[( 256721(e)- . 74033(s)- . 398818(h)-

(Table 1) Comparison of student ratings pre%and post%test by Question for , art #

Question Scale # %7 # : very difficult 7 : very easy	Pre-test Average Points n= 1	Post-test Average Points n= 431	Point Change	Percent Change
1) "e(inin# a topic (or the assi#n&ent	<)0!	<)22	0) 3	3L
2) Harrowin# &y topic	2)EM	<)0\$	0)0E	<L
<) Selectin# search ter&s	<)	<)<3	0)24	ML
4) Aindin# articles in the research databases on the ' ibraryl's website 0@*SCO, JS; OR, 7roCuest, etc)1	2)! 0	<)<3	0)\$\$	24L
3) Aindin# sources to use 0out on the web0 0e2a&ple %l oo#le, , ikipedia, websites1	<)!	<)\$ \$	%0)03	% L
\$) " eter&inin# whether a website is credible or not	<)2<	<)4<	0)20	\$L
!) Ai#urin# out where to (ind sources in di((erent parts o( the library	2)M<	<)0<	0)20	! L
M) Aindin# up%to%date &aterials	<)0<	<)2\$	0)22	! L
E) Bavin# to sort throu#h all the irrelevant results I #et to (ind what I need	2)M0	<) 4	0)<4	2L

Table 3 below and Graph 20-Appendix 2 \*1 show the percentage of students who answered each question correctly on the pre and posttest (or the five multiple choice questions in Part 2)

Table 7) , pre and posttest comparison of percentage of students answering multiple choice questions correctly

Multiple Choice Question	Pretest n=41	Posttest n=31	Percent Change
2) Keywords	3L	5L	2L
4) Database	14L	10L	22L
5) Harrowin#	1L	2L	1L
6) Sources	3L	30L	42L
7) Citation	11L	14L	4L
Average	11;	12;	11;

Tables 8, 9, and 10 below list the number of student responses that matched a given category (or questions) and a representative response (or each category) Student responses were coded into up to three different categories

Table 8) Coded student responses to pretest question #

Pretest Question # = >What do you hope to learn from the library sessions this year?<	Number of Student Responses n=41
Other > 0I hope to learn as much as I can due to the fact that not much was done involving this topic in my high school)0	35
Finding resources > 0I hope to learn how to find accurate and up-to-date information quickly and easily)0	33
Library > 0I hope to learn where specific sources are within the library)0	33
Citation > 0I also want to be able to source the right things and be correct while doing the&)0	26
Finding books > 0I will like to learn how to get more (similar with checking out books)0	26
Evaluation of sources > 0How to evaluate print sources)0	22
Finding articles > 0After the library session I hope to learn how to use databases to find scholarly journals)0	4
Topics > 0I hope to learn how to narrow my topics as well as find some focus in my writing)0	6
Web > 0How to better use internet sources)0	6
Interlibrary loan > 0I hope to learn what kind of access I have to interlibrary loans (from other universities and institutes)0	2
Nothing > 0Nothing in particular)0	2
Keywords > 0, what are the best ways to phrase the search terms when looking for information online)0	0
Don't know > 0I'm not sure what else I could learn honestly)0	0

(able &) Coded student responses to posttest 9 question #

, ost%(est 4uestion #)# = CWhat  
<as the most useful thing that  
you learned from the library  
sessions?D

@umber of  
Student  
Responses  
0nK <21





/valuation of sources > 0; hey can also help us determine if a site is credible or not)0	<0	- ther > 0I think the activities that they provided us, #ot the :ob done0	2\$
- ther > 0Study tactics0	23	(opics > 0advice students to build a better topic0	M

r[(t)0. 398818(h). 13. 41099(e). 12. 106787(e). 2627(s). 15. 825222(o). 18. 524897. (3)283509(1). 16. 270845(e). 8. 821887(l). 1. 260845(e). 8. 821865(). 2. 192176



030L1), with the exception of /question \$ 0narrowin#1, all of the & multiple choice post%test scores were lower than academic year 20 \$%20 ! and the overall percentage change (ro& the pre%to the post%test was lower as well 0 L increase this year versus 3L last year1) -s in past years, the students showed the #reatest increase in their scores on /questions related to & material that the librarians particularly e&phasi=e in their instruction sessions, e)#, scholarly databases and peer%reviewed :ournal articles 0/questions 4, 3, and ! 1) On the whole this year's assess&ent shows that students+in(or&ation literacy con(idence and abilities are increasin# durin# their (irst year at Millikin University)

### Analysis of Assessment Results by Library Instruction ' oal

Many of the /questions in 7arts and 2 can be &apped to particular Staley ' ibrary C, RR learnin# #oals) Students+con(idence and correct answers increased across all of the learnin# #oals 0see ; able 2 above1, with a particularly stron# increase in #oals and < 0in(or&ation sources and evaluation of in(or&ation1, as has been the case in past years)

### Analysis of Assessment Results for , art #

Students+sel(%assessed con(idence increased on a &a:ority of the /questions in 7art and on the whole increased by)2! points 0EL 1) ; he #reatest increase in con(idence was in (indin# articles in the library databases 0/question 41, evaluatin# sources 0W 01, knowin# how to cite sources in the correct (or&at 0W 41, knowin# what constitutes pl#iaris& 0W 31, and sortin# throu#h sources to (ind what the student needs 0WE1) Usin# the library databases is covered e2tensively in library instruction sessions and these results re(lect positively on that instruction) ; he increased con(idence in evaluatin# sources is also encoura#in#, althou#h deter&inin# credibility of a website, which is discussed e2tensively in the (all se&ester, only showed a \$L 0)20 point1 increase) Sortin# throu#h irrelevant results is another topic that is covered in library sessions, particularly in C, RR II) Citin# sources and pl#iaris& are covered in varyin# de#rees both in library instruction and by Se&inar .C, RR (aculty)

Students con(idence is (indin# sources 8out on the web9 decreased sli#htly (ro& the pre%to the post%test, althou#h their con(idence on the pre%test 0<)! 1 wa

Question 6 on the types of resources available in library databases showed a significant improvement between the two tests. 60% of students correctly identified library databases as a source for journal articles by the post-test. Now, what library databases are and what can be found in them is an essential skill for scholarly researchers. Students' success acquiring this skill, which they see to appreciate, even though almost half of them mentioned in question 5 of the post-test that learning to use the databases was the



Question 8, which asks about research activities that a librarian can help with, is intended in part to give a better sense of students' perceptions of the librarians both before and after the instruction sessions. On the pretest students identified traditional activities with librarians, e.g., (indicating books and other resources, but by the time of the posttest the responses were more varied and included (indicating credible resources, (indicating books and articles, helping with citations, and other activities such as 8[Librarians can provide research strategies to make the instructions attainable to the students]9 ; the variety of activities that students identified, especially in the posttest, is noteworthy and demonstrates that students recognize the different ways that librarians can help them with the research process)

### Analysis of Results for the Virtual Library Tour

Since all 25 students completed the 10 questions worksheet associated with the virtual tour of the library in its temporary location ; the average score was 8.5 with a mean of 8.3 (see table above) based on a class of 43 students, that means that 33% of the enrolled students completed the tour , while the scores on the worksheet continued to be high, the participation rate dropped significantly (from the previous year, when approximately 60% of students completed the self-guided tour) , while the librarians wanted to continue to offer a form of orientation to the physical library while in Hew Ball , we knew that the virtual tour would be a compromise , we intend to return to physical tours of the library in the University







## Appendix A

, re%and , ost%(est 4uestions

, art #

, hen you think about the @H; IR@ research process] (r

4) If you are searching in the database Academic Search Premier as seen in the image below, what type of research resources should you expect to find in your results?

- Journal Articles
- eBooks

3) Describe three ways that scholarly journal articles differ from magazine articles or newspaper articles.

\$) You have been assigned to write a research paper on a current events issue and you have decided to write about privacy on the Internet. Your professor tells you that your topic is too general. Of the following, which is the best way to narrow your Internet privacy topic?

- Focus on the relationship of Facebook use and self-esteem.
- Focus on methods that schools are using to prevent online bullying.
- Focus on social media companies and how they use personal data to make money.
- Focus on whether eBooks affect student learning.

!) You are doing research (or a speech) on the potential health benefits and drawbacks of statins. 200854(c)-5. 50635(-0. 409





' raph !

**Part 2: Due 2 Part Test comparison of**

## Appendix C

, AC/ CWRR Results&

, art #

(table C)# Student ratings by question for , art #

Question Scale # %7 # : very difficult 7 : very easy	, ost%(est Average , oints 0nK21
) " e(inin# a topic (or the assi#n&ent	< )30
2) Harrowin# &y topic	4)00
<) Selectin# search ter&s	< )30
4) Aindin# articles in the research databases on the ' ibraryls website 0@*SCO, JS; OR, 7roCuest, etc)1	4)00

,art!



(table C) Coded student responses to posttest question 8

, ost% (est 4 question 8 = >What are some research activities that librarians can help students <ith?>	@umber of Student Responses 0nK21
/valuation of sources > 0, hat authors are &ost credible)0	
(opics %0narrow down topics to research0	

Appendix O

- ff% Sequence CWRR Results<sup>5</sup>

, art #

(table O) Student ratings by question for , art #

Question  
 Scale # %7  
 # : very difficult  
 7 : very easy

, ost% (est Average , oints

(table 0!) , ercentage of responses at each level of difficulty for all 9 questions in , art #

Rating	, ost% (est 0nK 01
> ; his is very di((icult	0L
2 > ; his is di((icult	0L
< > ; his is neutral	2\$L
4 > ; his is easy	4\$L
3 > ; his is very easy	ML

, art !

(table 01) , ercentage of students ans<ering each multiple choice 9 question correctly

+ ultiple Choice 4uestion	, ost% (est 0nK 01
2) 5eywords	30L
4) " atabase	M0L
3) Harrowin#	30L
!) Sources	! 0L
M) Citation	! 0L
Average	\$6;

- ther > 0; he di((erences between